

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

QUICKEN LOANS INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS
BOARD,

Respondent.

Nos. 14-1231, 14-1265

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW
PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(a) and Circuit Rule 27(a), Petitioner Quicken Loans Inc. respectfully moves for this case to be removed from abeyance and for leave to withdraw its petition for rehearing en banc. Respondent National Labor Relations Board (“Board”) does not oppose this Motion.

1. On July 29, 2016, this Court issued an opinion and judgment denying Quicken Loans’ petition for review and granting the Board’s cross-application for enforcement.

2. On September 12, 2016, Quicken Loans filed a petition for rehearing en banc. Shortly thereafter, Quicken Loans and the Board entered into global settlement discussions that would bring final resolution to the issues presented in

this appeal and in the pending petition for rehearing. On September 26, 2016, Quicken Loans moved for this case to be held in abeyance while the settlement discussions were ongoing. This Court granted Quicken Loans' motion four days later and has held this case in abeyance since then.

3. On July 19, 2018, the Board approved a global settlement agreement that would, in relevant part, bring final resolution to the claims in this case. As part of the settlement terms, Quicken Loans has agreed to withdraw its rehearing petition in this case.

Accordingly, Quicken Loans respectfully requests leave to withdraw its petition for rehearing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William M. Jay

William M. Jay

Andrew Kim

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

901 New York Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20001

Tel.: +1 202 346 4000

Fax: +1 202 346 4444

wjay@goodwinlaw.com

Counsel for Petitioner Quicken Loans Inc.

Dated: August 1, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 1, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing Motion for Leave to Withdraw Petition for Rehearing En Banc with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system. Counsel for all parties to the case are registered CM/ECF users and will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

/s/ William M. Jay

William M. Jay

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

1. This document complies with the type-volume requirement of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2)(A) because it contains 213 words, as determined by the word-count function of Microsoft Word, excluding the parts of the document exempted by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(f).

2. This document complies with the typeface requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(5) and the type-style requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(6) because this document has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2010 in 14-point Times New Roman font.

Dated: August 1, 2018

/s/ William M. Jay

William M. Jay

Counsel for Petitioner Quicken Loans Inc.